Data were corrected for absorption, although the correction may be poorly defined due to the very irregular shape of the fragment utilized. A final difference Fourier revealed several peaks of density 1.0 to 2.1 $\mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ within $1.0 \AA$ of the three metal atoms but was otherwise featureless.
$\mathbf{W}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{O E t})_{14}$. A suitable small crystal was selected and transferred to the goniostat, where it was cooled to $-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystal was characterized in the usual manner. A systematic search of a limited hemisphere of reciprocal space yielded a set of diffraction maxima which exhibited monoclinic symmetry and had systematic extinctions corresponding to the unique space group $P 2_{1} / n$.

The structure was solved by locating the two W atoms with direct methods, and the remainder of the non-hydrogen atoms were located in two successive difference Fouriers phased with the W atoms. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares with use of anisotropic thermal parameters on all atoms, and the hydrogen atoms were then located in a difference Fourier. Final refinements were completed with use of isotropic thermal parameters on the hydrogen atoms.
A final difference Fourier was essentially featureless, and a few peaks of ca. $0.8-1.0 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ were located in proximity to the W atoms.

The molecule contains a crystallographic center of inversion.
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#### Abstract

The reaction of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)(6)$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ produced $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{1 0})$. The reaction is reversible with $K_{\text {eq }}=0.4 \mathrm{M}^{-2}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF- $d_{8}$. Upon heating at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF in the presence of high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, the equilibrium mixture of 6 and 10 was converted to $\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{-}$(9), which precipitates from solution. When 9 was heated in THF at $81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the absence of added $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, it was reconverted to 6 and free $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. The structure of 9 was determined by X-ray crystallography: monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$, with unit cell constants $a=12.893$ (2) $\AA, b=13.622$ (2) $\AA, c=15.068$ (2) $\AA, \beta=97.47$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, and $Z=4$.


The reactions of trialkylphosphines with $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl transition-metal complexes result in a variety of interesting cyclopentadienyl ligand transformations. Werner reported that the reaction of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(2-\mathrm{RC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ with $\mathrm{P}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}$ produced an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ mono(phosphine) adduct 1 which reacted further to give the novel binuclear complex 2 in which the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ring spans two metals. ${ }^{1}$


We have previously reported evidence for cyclopentadiene ring slippage ( $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \rightleftharpoons \eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \rightleftharpoons \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) during phosphine substitution reactions at coordinatively saturated metal centers. ${ }^{2}$
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Thus, $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CO})$ (3) reacted rapidly and reversibly with 2 equiv of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ bis(phosphine) adduct 4 via a proposed $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ mono(phosphine) intermediate 5 . When benzene- $d_{6}$ solutions of 4 were heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ an equilibrium mixture of 3 and 4 was slowly converted to a $4: 1$ ( $50 \%$ ) mixture of phosphine-substituted methyl and acetyl complexes, 6 and 7, probably via the same $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ intermediate

Table 1. Interatomic Distances $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg) for $9^{a}$

| Distances |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.454 (1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.826 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.395 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.442 (1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.817 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.398 (8) |
| Re-P(3) | 2.436 (1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.822 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.399 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 2.425 (1) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.828 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.403 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2.172 (14) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.825 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 1.10 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.800 (8) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.810 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 1.09 (6) |
| N -O | 1.220 (9) | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.822 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 0.94 (7) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.822 (5) | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.827 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 1.18 (7) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.813 (5) | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.828 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 1.04 (6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.810 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.402 (7) |  |  |
| Angles |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(2)$ | 91.57 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(3), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 95.90 (34) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$ | 117.61 (18) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(3)$ | 169.37 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(3), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{N}$ | 82.45 (26) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{C}(3)$ | 114.02 (19) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(4)$ | 90.20 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(4), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 85.00 (34) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{C}(4)$ | 118.17 (20) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 94.72 (34) | $\mathrm{P}(4), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{N}$ | 96.06 (24) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{C}(5)$ | 115.22 (19) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{N}$ | 86.93 (26) | $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{N}$ | 178.05 (43) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{C}(6)$ | 118.98 (17) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(3)$ | 90.03 (4) | Re,N,O | 176.87 (68) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{C}(7)$ | 118.25 (18) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(4)$ | 164.08 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(14), \mathrm{C}(15)$ | 107.78 (48) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(3), \mathrm{C}(8)$ | 115.16 (18) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 79.09 (34) | $\mathrm{C}(14), \mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(16)$ | 107.96 (46) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(3), \mathrm{C}(9)$ | 115.85 (16) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{N}$ | 99.82 (24) | $\mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(17)$ | 108.33 (51) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(3), \mathrm{C}(10)$ | 118.85 (20) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3), \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(4)$ | 91.14 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(17), \mathrm{C}(18)$ | 107.87 (49) | Re,P(4),C(11) | 115.47 (18) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(14), \mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(17)$ | 108.05 (49) | $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{P}(4), \mathrm{C}(12)$ | 118.61 (22) |
|  |  |  |  | Re,P(4),C(13) | 116.35 (20) |

${ }^{a}$ The estimated standard deviation of the least significant digit is given in parentheses.
5. When conversion to 6 and 7 was inhibited by high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, another reaction leading to cyclopentadienylidene ketene complex 8 took place. ${ }^{3}$

Here we report that when $\mathbf{3}$ is heated with high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ in THF, the cyclopentadienyl ligand is completely cleaved from rhenium and the tetrakis(phosphine) adduct $[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{-}(9)$ is isolated in $9 \%$ yield. ${ }^{4}$ The ionic " $\eta^{0 "}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compound 9 is also formed in $45 \%$ yield when ( $\eta^{1}$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(10)$ is heated with high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. When the " $\eta$ ""- $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compound 9 is heated in THF in the absence of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, reformation of the rhenium-cyclopentadienyl linkage takes place and $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ complex 6 is obtained.

## Results

Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{-}$(9) from ( $\eta^{5}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CO})$ (3). Reaction of $\mathbf{3}$ with $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ for 29 h in THF at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to precipitation of yellow crystalline $\left[\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{-}(9)$ in $9 \%$ isolated yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the residue from evaporation of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ and THF indicated the presence of ketene complex 8, compounds 11 and 12 (see below), and a small amount of 6 in addition to numerous unassigned resonances.

Crystalline 9 is insoluble in hexane, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and THF and decomposes rapidly in acetone and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Acetonitrile solutions of 9 are stable for days at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or for hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The structure of 9 was assigned on the basis of spectral evidence and was later confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 9 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ consists of a singlet at $\delta 5.48(5 \mathrm{H})$, assigned to the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$counterion, a three-line pattern at $1.64\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}+\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}}=6.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 36 \mathrm{H}$ ), assigned to the four equivalent $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands, and a quintet at $-1.00\left(J_{\mathrm{PH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right)$, assigned to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Re}$ unit coupled to the four equivalent phosphines. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 9 , the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$anion gives rise to a singlet at $\delta$ 104.1.

The $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$ion of 9 undergoes slow deuterium exchange with the $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvent at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus, within hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, the $\delta 5.48$ singlet of 9 disappears while the resonances due to the $\left[\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$cation remain unchanged. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the deuterated compound, $9-d_{5}$, shows a singlet at $\delta 5.5$. Compound $9-d_{5}$ is cleanly converted back to 9 in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of the $\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$portion of 9 .
Table II. Least-Squares Planes for 9

| atoms | $\operatorname{dev}$ | atoms | $\operatorname{dev}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Plane I |  | Plane II |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 0.0014 | Re | -0.0442 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0.0016 | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | -0.2688 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -0.0039 | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.2913 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 0.0047 | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | -0.2725 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 0.0038 | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 0.2942 |

The IR spectrum of $9(\mathrm{KBr})$ shows strong overlapping bands at 1648 and $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to the terminal nitrosyl ligand in 9. Bands due to the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$ion are observed at $3040\left(\mathrm{~m}, \nu_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}\right)$, $1000\left(\mathrm{~m}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}\right)$, and 673 (shoulder, $\gamma_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. These latter three bands are not observed in the IR ( KBr ) of $9-d_{5}$ which has new bands at $2258\left(\mathrm{~m}, \nu_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}\right)$ and $761\left(\mathrm{~m}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

X-ray Structure of $\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{P M e}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right]$. The $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$ counterion formulation for 9 was demonstrated by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figures 1 and 2; Tables I and II). The cation portion of $\mathbf{9}$ has approximately octahedral geometry with the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and NO ligands in trans positions and a $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ angle of $178^{\circ}$. The nitrosyl ligand is nearly linear with a $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ angle of $177^{\circ}$. One pair of trans phosphine ligands is bent downward with a $P(1)-\operatorname{Re}-P(3)$ angle of $169^{\circ}$, while the other pair of trans phosphine ligands is bent upward with a $P(2)-\operatorname{Re}-\mathrm{P}(4)$ angle of $164^{\circ}$. Similar distortions from octahedral symmetry have been observed in other tetrakis(trimethylphosphine) complexes ${ }^{5}$ and


Figure 2. Stereoview showing a sphere of enclosure about the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$unit of 9 .
Scheme II


are attributed to relief of steric crowding due to four bulky in-plane phosphine ligands. The anion portion of 9 is essentially planar; the largest deviation of carbon from the mean plane is $0.005 \AA$ (Table II). The average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distance in the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$unit is 1.399 (8) $\AA$, and the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle is $108.0(5)^{\circ}$. For comparison, benzene is reported to have an average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length of 1.399 (1) $\AA^{6}$ and $\mathrm{Na}\left(\right.$ TMEDA ${ }^{+} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}$is reported to have an average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length of 1.38 (2) $\AA{ }^{7}{ }^{\text {a }}$

The $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ anion occupies crystal lattice sites surrounded by an octahedral array of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands on rhenium cations; the closest distance between cation and anion is the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ distance of $3.65 \AA$ between a carbon of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ anion and a carbon of a $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand (Figure 2). Thus, the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ anion of 9 is in an essentially hydrocarbon environment.

Other metal cyclopentadienide compounds which have been characterized crystallographically show $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ bonding to the metal counterion. For example, $\mathrm{Na}(\text { TMEDA })^{+} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$consists of puckered chains of Na atoms linked together by bridging $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ groups. ${ }^{7 a}$ The carbon atoms are an average distance of
(5) Carmona, E.; Marin, J. M.; Poveda, M. L.; Atwood, J. L.; Rogers, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3014-3022.
(6) Tamagawa, K.; Iijima, T.; Kimura, M. J. Mol. Struct. 1976, 30, 243-253 and references therein.
(7) (a) Aoyagi, T.; Shearer, H. M. M.; Wade, W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 164-165. Aoyagi, T.; Shearer, H. M. M.; Wade, K.; Whitehead, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 175, 21-31. (b) Panattoni, C.; Bombieri, G.; Croatto, U. Acta Crystallogr. 1966, 21, 823-826. (c) Frasson, E.; Menegus, F.; Panattoni, C. Nature (London) 1963, 199, 1087-1089.
2.92 (1) $\AA$ from the sodium atoms. Similar structures are also found for $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}{ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{Tl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$ and $\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$

Formation of $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (10) from $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)(6)$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. Reaction of 6 and $8 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ in hexane at $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h led to isolation of ( $\eta^{1}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{1 0})$ as a yellow solid in quantitative yield. Solid $\mathbf{1 0}$ decomposes over the course of days at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under either nitrogen or vacuum. In THF solution at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10$ is rapidly converted to 6 and free $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. Spectroscopic characterization was therefore performed on $1: 1 \mathrm{PMe}_{3}:$ THF- $d_{8}$ solutions of 10 where the high $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ concentration favors 10 over 6. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 10 establishes the presence of two equivalent trans $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands ( $\delta 1.51$, three lines, $J_{\mathrm{PH}+\mathrm{PH}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and one unique $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand ( $\delta 1.47, \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The methyl group in 10 appears at $\delta 0.59$ as a triplet of doublets with a large cis coupling ( 8.2 Hz ) to the two equivalent phosphines and a small trans coupling ( 2.0 $\mathrm{Hz})$ to the unique phosphine. These coupling constants require that the methyl and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands all occupy the same plane. Consistent with this formulation is the observation of a quartet at $\delta 5.44(J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the fluxional $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligand. For comparison, 1.3 to 1.5 Hz coupling constants have been observed for phosphorus ligands cis to $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligands in a number of related complexes. ${ }^{2}$ In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 10 the fluxional $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligand gives rise to a singlet at $\delta 114.1$. The IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 10 has a band at $1628 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to a linear nitrosyl ligand.

The reversibility of the formation of 10 was demonstrated by preparing a THF- $d_{8}$ solution of 10 at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and by obtaining its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum at $-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which indicated a $32: 1$ ratio
of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to 6 . When the sample was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated complete conversion of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to $\mathbf{6}$ and free $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$.

To measure the equilibrium constant for the interconversion of 6 and 10 , a solution of $6(0.16 \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(1.2 \mathrm{M})$ in THF- $d_{8}$ was maintained at $4.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the concentrations of 6,10 , and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ were monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Equilibration was established within 7 days and the equilibrium constant, $K=[10][6]^{-1}\left[\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right]^{-2}$, was found to be $0.4 \mathrm{M}^{-2}$ at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For comparison, $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CO})$, (3), reacts reversibly with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to form the substantially more thermodynamically stable $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ bis(phosphine) adduct $4\left(\mathrm{~K}=710 \mathrm{M}^{-2}\right.$ in THF at $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$

Synthesis of 9 from ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ (6) and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. In the hope of obtaining a higher yield route to " $\eta^{0 n}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$ compound 9, we examined the reaction of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ (6) with high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. When a THF solution of 6 and $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 days, yellow crystalline needles of 9 formed in $45 \%$ yield.

When this solution was evaporated, a yellow solid was obtained in $45 \%$ yield which was tentatively formulated as a $1: 1$ mixture of 11 and $12 .{ }^{8}$ These products are formally the result of $1,5-$ hydrogen shifts around the rhenium-substituted cyclopentadiene ring. The composition of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ was clearly established by converting the isolated mixture of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ to a $2: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}: 6$ by heating a 0.08 M benzene $-d_{6}$ solution of 11 and $\mathbf{1 2}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the mixture of 11 and 12 at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, six multiplets of nearly equal intensity are seen between $\delta 5.8$ and 6.8 which are assigned to the three vinyl hydrogens in each of the complexes. The methylene groups on the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ligands of 11 and 12 are accidentally degenerate and appear as a broad singlet at $\delta 2.9$. Each of the complexes has a meridional arrangment of three $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands which give rise to a doublet for the unique $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(\delta 1.52,1.51)$ and a pseudotriplet for the trans $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands ( $\delta 1.32,1.29$ ). The methyl groups of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ are accidentally degenerate and appear as a doublet of triplets ( $J=$ $13,10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) at $\delta-0.97$; the large and nearly equal magnitude of these coupling constants requires that the methyl group be cis to all three $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands in each of the complexes. The large ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ coupling of the rhenium-bound carbon of the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ rings of 11 and $12\left(\delta 173.3, J=50 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \delta 165.6, J=45 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ) observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum establishes a trans relationship between the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ rings and a $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand. The linear nitrosyl ligands of 11 and 12 give rise to a single IR band at $1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. At low temperature, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR is substantially more complex presumably due to slow rotation about the rhenium to $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ring bond and to the presence of rotational isomers (see Experimental Section).

Reformation of the Cyclopentadiene-Rhenium Bond. When the " $\eta^{0 "}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ salt 9 was heated in THF- $d_{8}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days, the yellow solid slowly dissolved and was cleanly converted to $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compound 6 and 3 equiv of free $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and IR spectroscopy.

## Discussion

Previously we have reported that $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CO})$ (3) reacts rapidly and reverisbly with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$, (4) via a $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ mono(phosphine) intermediate, 5. ${ }^{\text {2a }}$ Although we cannot rule out a bent-nitrosyl mono(phosphine) structure for 5 , we favor an $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ formulation since it provides a smooth transition from $\eta^{5}$-complex 3 to $\eta^{1}$-complex 4 and because we have previously demonstrated in the case of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ that nitrosyl ligands are not required for the formation of $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ bis(phosphine)rhenium complexes. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ In 1969, Cotton ${ }^{9}$ reported the X-ray crystal structure of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{MoNO}$ for which an $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ -

[^2]MoNO formulation seemed plausible on the basis of the 18electron rule. However, the structure was shown to consist of an $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NO})$ Mo unit and two additional cyclopentadienyl ligands in which all ten carbons had significant bonding interactions with molybdenum. Cotton noted that simple geometry requires that a metal atom equidistant from three carbons of a regular planar pentagonal cyclopentadienyl ligand is necessarily equidistant from all five ring carbons. This makes $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ geometries indistinguishable, and Cotton stated that "the concept of a (trihaptocyclopentadienyl)metal bond...is mythical". However, this rationale leaves open the possibility of a nonplanar $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligand. In 1978, Huttner, Brintzinger, and Bell ${ }^{10}$ reported the crystal structure of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ in which there was a $20^{\circ}$ angle between the planes of the allyl group and the alkene group in the $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$. In light of the above discussion, we believe that intermediate 5 contains a bent $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligand.

When the equilibrating mixture of 3 and 4 was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in benzene, it was slowly converted to a $4: 1$ mixture of phos-phine-substituted methyl and acetyl complexes, 6 and 7, probably via the same $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ intermediate 5 . When conversion to 6 and 7 was inhibited by high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, a different reaction leading to cyclopentadienylidene ketene complex 8 took place. ${ }^{3}$ Complex 8 is formally the result of a CO insertion into the $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}-$ rhenium bond followed by loss of a cyclopentadienyl hydrogen. In the work reported here, we have found still another product from the reaction of $\mathbf{3}$ with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. When $\mathbf{3}$ and high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ were heated in THF, the cyclopentadienyl ligand was completely severed from rhenium and the ionic " $\eta^{0}$ "- $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compound 9 crystallized in low yield from hot THF

The ionic " $\eta^{0 \text { " }}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compound 9 is formed more cleanly and in higher yield from reaction of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ (6) and high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under milder conditions, the $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ intermediate 10 was isolated. When a THF solution of 6 and $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, the clean conversion to $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ tris(phosphine) complex 10 was observed. Complex 10 was isolated but is stable in solution only in the presence of high concentrations of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$; in dilute solution, 10 dissociates $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ and reforms 6. By analogy to the reaction of 3 with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to give 4, we believe that the conversion of 6 to 10 involves an $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ intermediate, 13. Remarkably, when solid ionic " $\eta$ ""- $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compound 9 was heated in THF, it slowly dissolved to reform $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ complex 6. Presumably this reaction proceeds via dissociation of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ from 9 and formation of a Re-cyclopentadienyl bond to generate observed $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ intermediate $\mathbf{1 0}$ which then dissociates a second phosphine to generate the postulated intermediate $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ complex 13 and finally dissociates a third $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand to give the isolated $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ complex 6. We believe that this reversible interconversion of $\eta^{5}$, $\eta^{3}, \eta^{1}$, and ionic " $\eta^{0}$ "- $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compounds is unprecedented.

Displacement of a coordinated $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligand by a neutral incoming ligand has been observed before; ${ }^{11}$ however, products containing a $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ counterion have not been well characterized. In 1966, Behrens and Meyer speculated that $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ might reversibly dissociate to $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]_{2}^{-}$in liquid ammonia. ${ }^{12}$ In 1979, Booth and Smith reported that the reaction of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ and 2 equiv of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ gave an air-sensitive brown precipitate which, although itself uncharacterized, gave $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Ni}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right]^{-}$upon treatment with $\mathrm{NaBPh}_{4}{ }^{13}$ Most recently Fabian and Labinger reported that $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{1}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ reacts rapidly with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to give a yellow precipitate, which they formulated as $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{-}$on the basis of its reactions with water and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}{ }^{14}$ Due to the poor solubility of the compound in polar

[^3]solvents, the only spectroscopic characterization was an IR (Nujol) band at $1940 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

It is interesting to note that we found no evidence for the insertion of the nitrosyl ligand into either the rhenium-methyl or the rhenium $-\eta^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{5}$ bonds of $\mathbf{1 0}$ or 13. Bergman has recently reported that $\mathrm{Na}^{+}\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NO})\right]^{-}$reacts with MeI in the presence of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NO})(\mathrm{Me})\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)$ (14), which upon warming to room temperature gave nitrosoalkane complex $15{ }^{15}$ In this reaction a bending of the nitrosyl ligand and not an $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ to $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ring transformation maintains the 18 -electron count at the metal in 14.


Since the classic work on ferrocene first appeared over a quarter of a century ago, ${ }^{16}$ the $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl ligand has become one of the most extensively utilized ligands in organotransition metal chemistry. We therefore find the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$-induced $\eta^{5} \rightleftarrows \eta^{3} \rightleftarrows \eta^{1}$ $\rightleftarrows$ " $\eta^{0}$ " cyclopentadienyl transformations reported here a fascinating addition to the known chemical reactivity of this important ligand.

## Experimental Section

General Data. Solvents were distilled from sodium and benzophenone $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$, hexane), $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, or $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (acetone) prior to use. All reactions were run under dry nitrogen, except where indicated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WH-270 ( 270 MHz ) or IBM WP200 ( 200 MHz ) spectrometer; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50.10 MHz ) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 80.76 MHz ) were recorded on a JEOL FX-200 spectrometer. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ chemical shifts are referenced in parts per million from $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$; upfield shifts are recorded as negative. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckman 4230 infrared spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a AEI-MS-902 mass spectrometer. Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Labs.
$\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{P M e}_{3}\right)$ (6). A solution of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}-$ $(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(3)(98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8 \mathrm{M})$ in 0.5 mL of benzene was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in a sealed tube. Solvent and excess $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ were pumped off, and the residue was thin-layer chromatographed (silica gel- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) under nitrogen to give 6 as an orange solid ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%$ ): mp (sealed capillary) $90-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8}$ ) $\delta 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF}-d_{8},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 87.5,18.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 35 Hz ), $-41.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta-29.8$; IR (THF) $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17}$ NOPRe: C, 29.03; $\mathrm{H}, 4.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.76$. Found: C, 29.34; H, 4.83; N, 3.93.
$\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{1 0})$. A hexane:trimethylphosphine $(0.5: 2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $6(97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.13 \mathrm{M})$ was stirred at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . Evaporation of solvent led to isolation of 10 as a yellow solid in quantitative yield ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 104 \%$ ). Solid 10 decomposes at 22 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed evacuated vial over the course of days. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $1: 1$, $\left.\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}-\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) \delta 5.44(\mathrm{q}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.51$ ( 3 lines, $J_{\mathrm{PH}+\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}\right), 0.59(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(1: 1\right.$, THF- $\left.d_{8}-\mathrm{PMe}_{3},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 114.1,21.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=28\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 16.4\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=14 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),-8.9(\mathrm{br}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $1: 1$, THF- $d_{8}: \mathrm{PMe}_{3},-50$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta-42.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PP}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),-57.7\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PP}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Interconversion of 6 and 10 . On a high-vacuum line, $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was condensed into an NMR tube containing $6(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the solution was maintained at $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ was then evaporated to give 10 as a yellow oil. THF- $d_{8}$ was distilled into the tube at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the tube was sealed under vacuum and placed in the precooled ( -53

[^4]${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) probe of a Bruker $270-\mathrm{MHz}$ NMR spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated a $32: 1$ ratio of 10 to 6 in addition to some free $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. The sample was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h at which time ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated complete conversion of 10 to 6 and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$.

Equilibration of 6 and 10. A sealed NMR tube containing $6(17.2 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.046 mmol ), $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\left(0.34 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ), and THF-d $\boldsymbol{d}_{8}$ (total volume 0.28 mL at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was placed in a $4.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ constant-temperature bath. The concentrations of 6 and 10 were periodically monitored by $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Complete equilibration occurred within 7 days to produce a solution containing $0.051 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{3}, 0.11 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{10}$, and $1.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PMe}_{3} ; K_{\text {eq }}=$ $[10][6]^{-1}\left[\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right]^{-2}=0.4 \mathrm{M}^{-2}$.
$\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}(9)\right.$ from 6 and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. A sealed tube containing a solution of $6(278 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(7.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 74$ mmol, 4.9 M ) in THF ( 7.5 mL ) was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 days. Yellow crystalline 9 ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ) formed during the course of reaction and was isolated by filtration as analytically pure material. Evaporation of the mother liquor gave a yellow solid which was shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to be a mixture of 11 and $\mathbf{1 2}(171 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%) .11$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ could not be separated by recrystallization or by reverse phase HPLC and decomposed upon attempted silica gel or alumina thin-layer chromatography.

9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.64$ (three lines, $J_{\mathrm{PH}+\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{PH}}}=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 36 \mathrm{H}$ ), -1.00 (quintet, $J_{\mathrm{PH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) $\delta 104.1,17.9$ (quintet, $J_{\mathrm{PC}}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -6.9 (br); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta-42.1$; IR ( KBr ) $1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{NOP}_{4}$ Re: $\mathrm{C}, 35.99 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.38 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.33$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 36.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.68$; N, 2.61 .

A 1:1 mixture of 11 and $12:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8}, 47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200$ and 270 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $1.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.30$ (two overlapping three-line patterns centered at 1.32 and 1.29 , respectively, $J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 36 \mathrm{H}$ ), -0.97 (dt, $J$ $=13,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-d ${ }_{8},-33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); in addition to the resonaces observed at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, new resonances were seen at $\delta 6.34(\mathrm{~m}) 6.21$ $(\mathrm{m}), 5.95(\mathrm{~m}), 5.75(\mathrm{~m}), 5.15(\mathrm{~m}), 2.99(\mathrm{~m})$, and $2.71(\mathrm{~m})$, the $\delta-0.97$ resonance was observed as a complex set of overlapping multiplets $(6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}, 0.09 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 173.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=50$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 165.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=45 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.3,134.3,131.5,130.0,128.6,127.7,57.0$, $42.6,20.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 16.6(\mathrm{t}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}),-4.1$, additional minor ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances were observed at $\delta 144.6,135.4,133.8,127.0,51.3$, and -6.4 ; IR (THF) $1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NOP}_{3} \mathrm{Re}: \mathrm{C}, 34.34$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.72$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 34.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.68$. MS $(26 \mathrm{eV}) \mathrm{m} / e$ calcd for ${ }^{187} \mathrm{Re}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NOP}_{3} 525.1484$, found 525.1492 .

Synthesis of 9 from 3 and $\mathbf{P M e}_{3}$. When a THF solution of $\mathbf{3}(100 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0 \mathrm{M})$ was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 29 h , yellow needles of $9(16 \mathrm{mg}, 8.7 \%)$ formed and were isolated by filtration. Evaporation of solvent gave a brown residue which was shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to be a mixture of 1.0 8:0.6 11:0.6 12:0.2 6 and several minor impurities.

Conversion of 9 to 6. A sealed NMR tube containing solid $9(9 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.015 mmol ) and 0.28 mL of $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h during which time 9 slowly dissolved and was cleanly converted to 6 and free $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and IR.

Conversion of 11 and 12 to 6 . A sealed NMR tube containing a benzene- $d_{6}$ solution of 11 and $12(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.08 \mathrm{M})$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and IR indicated clean conversion to 6 and free $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination of 9. Crystals of 9 suitable for X-ray diffraction study were obtained from a tetrahydrofuran- $d_{8}$ solution of 9 . A single crystal of approximate dimensions $0.05 \times 0.10 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted in a thin-walled glass capillary for the X-ray study. Preliminary examination of the crystal on a Syntex-Nicolet PI diffractometer showed the crystal to be monoclinic. A Delaunay cell reduction did not reveal any hidden symmetry. The observed systematic absences of $h 0 l(l=2 n+1)$ and $0 k 0(k=2 n+1)$ uniquely define the space group as $P 2_{1} / c$ (No. 14). The unit cell parameters, determined by a leastsquares refinement utilizing the setting angles of 60 reflections $\left(27^{\circ}<\right.$ $2 \theta<41^{\circ}$ ) collected at $\pm 2 \theta$, are $a=12.893$ (2) $\AA, b=13.622$ (2) $\AA, c$ $=15.068$ (2) $\AA$, and $\beta=97.47(2)^{\circ}$ (at $-90 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ). The unit cell volume of $2623.8 \AA^{3}$ led to a calculated density of $1.52 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ for 4 formula units of $\mathrm{ReP}_{4} \mathrm{NOC}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{44}$ per unit cell.

X-ray intensity data were collected at $-90 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Syntex-Nicolet Pl diffractometer equipped with a graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation source and a modified LT-1 low-temperature device. A total of 5967 unique reflections with $\sin \theta / \lambda \leqslant 0.649 \AA^{-1}$ were collected with use of a $\theta-2 \theta$ step-scan technique with a scan range of $0.7^{\circ}$ below $2 \theta$ (Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha_{1}$ ) to $0.7^{\circ}$ above $2 \theta$ (Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha_{2}$ ) and a variable scan rate $\left(2.0-24.0^{\circ} /\right.$ min ). Throughout data collection four standard reflections from diverse regions of reciprocal space were measured every 50 reflections. The intensities of the standard reflections showed no systematic variations during the time required to collect the data. The data were reduced and
standard deviations calculated by using methods similar to those described previously. ${ }^{17}$ Absorption corrections were applied (empirical $\psi$-scan method, $\mu_{\mathrm{Mo}}=46.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The minimum and maximum multipliers were 1.00 and 1.46 , respectively.

The structure was solved by direct methods with use of the mULTAN ${ }^{18}$ package and the 300 reflections with the highest values of $|E|$. An $E$ map based upon these reflections revealed positions for the rhenium atom and 8 of the other non-hydrogen atoms. Difference electron density maps revealed positions for the rest of the 25 non-hydrogen atoms. The model was refined by a full-matrix least-squares technique with use of the 4656 reflections with $F_{0}>3 \sigma\left(F_{0}\right)$ and standard atomic form factors. ${ }^{19}$ The effects of anomalous scattering for the rhenium and phosphorus atoms were included in the calculated structure amplitudes. ${ }^{20}$ A difference electron density map calculated after refinement on the isotropic model had converged ( $R_{1}=\sum\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}} \| / \sum\right| F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|=0.108 ; R_{2}=\left[\sum w\left(F_{0}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2} / \sum \omega\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.122\right)$ demonstrated (nonstatistical) disorder of the nitrosyl and Re-bonded methyl group (the occupancies of 0.6 and 0.4 were estimated from the electron density difference map peaks from a
map calculated with the disordered atoms removed) and gave positions for the disordered atoms and for all the hydrogen atoms except those on the Re -bonded methyl group. The hydrogen atoms position and isotropic thermal parameters were included in the parameters being refined. All non-hydrogen atoms except the disordered nitrogen and carbon atoms were assumed to vibrate anisotropically. This final model converged with $R_{1}=0.025$ and $R_{2}=0.032$. The highest peak on the final difference electron density map was near the rhenium atom and was about $22 \%$ of the height of a typical carbon atom. The estimated error in an observation of unit weight was 1.15 and the final data to variable ratio was 11.7.

Acknowledgment. Support from the Department of Energy, Division of Basic Energy Sciences, is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. Nicholas Vollendorf for first suggesting the structural assignments of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$.

Registry No. 3, 38814-45-8; 6, 80668-22-0; 8, 94729-27-8; 9, 94619-90-6; 9-d $d_{5}, 94619-92-8 ; 10,94619-86-0 ; 11,94619-87-1 ; 12,94619-88-2$; $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}, 594-09-2$.

Supplementary Material Available: Listings of the final observed and calculated structure amplitudes ( $\times 10$ ), atomic coordinates, and associated thermal parameters ( 24 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

# On the Scope, Mechanism, and Stereochemistry of the Lewis Acid Catalyzed Cyclocondensation of Activated Dienes with Aldehydes: An Application to the Erythronolide Problem 

Samuel J. Danishefsky, * Eric Larson, ${ }^{\dagger}$ David Askin, and Nobuo Kato<br>Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511. Received January 20, 1984. Revised Manuscript Received April 13, 1984


#### Abstract

The scope of the titled reaction is described. Pericyclic and aldol-like pathways have been identified. To a great extent these are a function of the catalyst/solvent system and of structural features in the aldehyde. The pericyclic pathway tends to favor a topology leading to cis-2,3-dihydropyran. A complementary threo-selective siloxonium (aldol-like) pathway is favored by borontrifluoride catalysis. These capabilities are coordinated in a synthesis of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ fragment of 6adeoxyerythronolide.


The ability of selected aldehydes bearing strongly electrophilic $\alpha$-substituents to function as heterodienophiles (eq 1) has been


known for some time..$^{1-3}$ Even in these cases the substitution patterns of the diene participants have been quite simple. Therefore, extensive functional group manipulations would be required to convert the primary cycloadducts to more complex structures.
In such cycloaddition reactions, the issue of the relative configurations at positions 2 and 3 is one of topology. The emerging

[^5]relationship of both centers relative to preexisting dissymmetric elements in either the aldehyde (cf. $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ ) or in the diene (cf. $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ or $R{ }_{2}$ ) falls under the category of diastereofacial selectivity. ${ }^{4}$
(1) For a recent comprehensive review of Diels-Alder cycloadditions with heterodienophiles, see: Weinreb, S. M.; Staib, R. R. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 3087.
(2) For a very early foray into this area, see: Paul, R.; Ischelitcheff, S. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1947, 224, 1722. Recently, the use of ultrahigh pressure ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{kbar}$ ) has extended the scope of reactivity of nonactivated carbonyl participants. See: Jurczak, J.; Chmielewski, M.; Filipek, S. Synthesis 1979, 41.
(3) The possibility of employing Lewis acid catalysis in this type of cycloaddition was noted by J. W. Scheeren and associates in two publications which preceded our own. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$ However, these workers confined their reports to the case of activated aldehydes (cf. glyoxylate) which in fact do not require catalysis. Mention was made that Lewis acid catalysis could allow for the process to be extended to simple aldehydes but no examples were given. In a paper that appeared after our work, ${ }^{12 a}$ the extension to unactivated aldehydes was described. Cf., inter alia: van Balen, H. C. J. G.; Broekhuis, A. A.; Scheeren, J. W.; Nivard, R. J. F., Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1979, 98, 36. Aben, R. W.; Scheeren, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 3132. Aben, R. W.; Scheeren, J. W. Synthesis 1982, 779.


[^0]:    (1) Werner, H.; Tune, D.; Parker, G.; Krüger, C.; Brauer, D. J. Angew. Chem. 1975, 87, 205-206. Werner, H.; Kuhn, A. Angew. Chem. 1979, 91, 447-448.
    (2) (a) Casey, C. P.; Jones, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6154-6156. (b) Casey, C. P.; Jones, W. D.; Harsy, S. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 206, C38-C42. (c) Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A.; McAlister, D. R.; Jones, W. D.; Harsy, S. G. J. Mol. Catal. 1981, 13, 43-59. (d) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M.; Jones, W. D.; Haller, K. J. Organometallics 1983, 2, 535-538. (e) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M. Organometallics, submitted.

[^1]:    (3) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2919-2920.
    (4) A related reaction has recently been reported for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{GeMe}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ : Borisova, I. V.; Luzikov, Y. N.; Zemlyansky, N. N.; Utsynyuk, Y. A.; Beletskaya, I. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 268, 11-17.

[^2]:    (8) Related platinum complexes and 1 -silyl-, 2 -silyl-, and 3 -silylcyclopentadiene isomers have been reported in the literature. For leading references see: Egger, K. W.; James, T. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 26, 335-343. Cuthberston, A. F.; Glidewell, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 221, $19-31$. Boag, N. M.; Goodfellow, R. J.; Green, M.; Hessner, B.; Howard, J. A. K.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 2585-2591.
    (9) Cotton, F. A. Discuss. Faraday Soc. 1969, 47, 79-83. Calderon, J L.; Cotton, F. A.; Legzdins, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2528-2535.

[^3]:    (10) Huttner, G.; Brintzinger, H. H.; Bell, L. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, $145,329-333$ and references therein.
    (11) For example see: Barefield, E. K.; Krost, D. A.; Edwards, D. S.; Van Derveer, D. G.; Trytko, R. L.; O'Rear, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6219-6222 and references therein.
    (12) Behrens, H.; Meyer, K. Z. Naturforsch. B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem., Biochem., Blophys. Biol. 1966, 21B, 489-490.
    (13) Booth, B. L.; Smith, K. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 178, 361-370.
    (14) Fabian, B. D.; Labinger, J. A. Organometallics 1983, 2, 659-664.

[^4]:    (15) Weiner, W. P.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105 , 3922-3929.
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